

MARK REICHEL (Cal. SBN #155034) 1 REICHEL & PLESSER LLP 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 2 Sacramento, CA. 95814 Tel. (916) 498-9258 Fax (888) 567-2949 E-Mail: mark@reichellaw.com 5 Attorney for Defendant CONNIE DEVERS 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 No. CR-S-10-0223 JAM UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 11 STIPULATION AND Plaintiff, ORDER CONTINUING STATUS 12 **COMPETENCY HEARING** v. 13 Date: 01/28/2014 CONNIE DEVERS. Time: 1:30 p.m. Judge: Honorable John A. Mendez 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto through their 19 respective counsel, PHIL FERRARI, Assistant United States Attorney, attorney for Plaintiff, and 20 MARK J. REICHEL, Esq., attorney for defendant, that the present date for the competency 21 hearing be re calendared for March 4, 2014 at 1:30 p.m. Further, that the Declaration of Byron 22 Wilson, M.D., previously submitted by the plaintiff shall be competent evidence the same as if 23 the witness had testified and is not objected to by the defense. The date for hearing of January 24 28, 2014 at 1:30 p.m. shall be vacated. Accordingly, all counsel and defendant agree that time 25 under the Speedy Trial Act from the date this stipulation is lodged, through August 3, 2012 26 should be excluded in computing the time within which trial must commence under the Speedy 27 Trial Act, pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C. § 3161 (H)(7)(B)(iv) and Local Code T4. 28 It is so stipulated.

Case 2:10-cr-00223-JAM Document 541 Filed 01/28/14 Page 2 of 2

1	Dated: January 28, 2014	MARK J. REICHEL, ESQ.
2		/s/ Mark J. Reichel
3		Attorney for Defendant CONNIE DEVERS
5	Dated: January 28, 2014	U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
6	Butout variously 20, 2011	
7		/s/ Mark J. Reichel, for PHIL FERRARI
8		Assistant U.S. Attorney Attorney for Plaintiff
9	Dated: January 28, 2014	/s/ Mark J. Reichel, for
10		KYLE REARDON Assistant U.S. Attorney
11		Attorney for Plaintiff
12		
13		
14	[ORDEI	R
15	IT IS SO ORDERED. For the reasons set forth above, the court finds that there is	
16	GOOD CAUSE for the continuance and the exclusion of time, and that the ends of justice served	
17	by this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.	
18	Time is excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv) and Local Code T4.	
19		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21	DATED: January 28, 2014	
22		/s/ John A. Mendez
23		HON. JOHN A. MENDEZ
24		United States District Court Judge
25		
26		
27		
28		